

## Fairness for all

The Office of the Tax Ombud is committed to helping improve the country's tax administration system by, among others, protecting taxpayers' rights. But there are instances where the actions of tax complainants are questionable, and the Tax Ombud would rule in favour of the South African Revenue Service or reject a tax complaint outright.

Remember, the Tax Ombud is neither for SARS nor for taxpayers but looks at available facts and makes impartial decisions.

# Complaint rejected after taxpayer changes details

The tax case below details a complaint that the OTO rejected following a taxpayer's failure to register a correct bank account and only changing details once SARS had issued a third party appointment and received payment.

#### Background

#### What was the tax complaint?

The complaint was about SARS issuing a third party appointment for **R10 000.00** from a company's bank account to settle its director's outstanding income tax debt. The taxpayer is a non-profit organisation (NPO), and the director of the company had an outstanding personal income tax debt with SARS. When applying for the NPO's bank account, the director was listed as the company bank account holder instead of the taxpayer, the NPO.

#### **Findings**

#### Who was at fault?

During the debt collection process to recover the director's outstanding income tax debt, SARS did a bank search and found that the company's bank account was linked to its director. As a result, SARS issued a third party appointment for R10 000.00 against the company bank account, held in the director's name. The bank then paid over the amount to SARS to honour the third party appointment.

Only after the amount was paid over to SARS was the account holder of the company bank account changed from the director to the taxpayer, the company. The taxpayer then lodged a complaint with the SARS Complaints Management Office to recover the R10 000.00 that was deducted from the taxpayer's account. SARS conducted further investigations and discovered that the account had been linked to the director and was only changed after the third party appointment was honoured. The taxpayer was accordingly informed that the third party appointment was legal, and that the R10 000.00 could not be refunded to the taxpayer. The taxpayer then lodged a complaint with the OTO.

#### Recommendations

#### What should happen?

The OTO could not make any recommendations, as the third party appointment was not unlawful since it was linked to the company's director at the time of the third party appointment.

#### Resolution

#### What was the outcome?

The complaint was rejected.



### Conclusion - Important lesson

Directors of companies should ensure that the details used for their companies are those of the company. Changing details after SARS has acted will not make their action unlawful.

NOTE: TAXPAYERS' DETAILS WITHHELD FOR CONFIDENTIALITY REASONS.

Follow the OTO on the following social media channels and be part of an important dialogue in the country on tax matters:







@TaxOmbud SA



Office of the Tax Ombud

www.taxombud.gov.za

If you have a QR code reader app on your mobile, scan to visit:



#### Notice

This newsletter is published monthly. Please send your feedback on the newsletter and the types of cases featured to PSeopela@taxombud.gov.za or Communications@taxombud.gov.za.

#### Copyright Notice And Disclaimer

The information provided in this document is protected by applicable intellectual property laws and may not be copied, distributed or modified for any purpose without the explicit consent of the Tax Ombud. The information was correct at the time of publication but may have subsequently changed. This newsletter is for information purposes only and cannot be considered to be a legal reference. The use of this information by any person shall be entirely at that person's discretion. The Office of the Tax Ombud does not expressly or by implication represent, recommend or propose that services referred to in this document are appropriate to the needs of any particular person. The Tax Ombud does not accept any liability due to any loss, damages, costs and expenses, which may be sustained or incurred directly or indirectly as a result of any error or omission contained in this newsletter. The information does not supersede any legislation and readers who are in doubt regarding any aspect of the information displayed in the newsletter should refer to the relevant legislation, or seek a formal opinion from a suitably qualified individual.

July 2021 PAGE 2